Application/Control Number: 10/676,297

Art Unit: 3692

# DETAILED ACTION

 The following is a Final Action on merits in response to a communication received on 1/22/2008

# Acknowledgement

The amendments with claims 1, 7 and 9 received on 1/22/08 have been entered.
As such Claims 1-10 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the claim 1, at line 12, the recitation, "a cost to the entity" renders the claim indefinite since it is not found in the original specification.

In the claim 7, at line 15, the recitation," a plurality of iteratively changed values of stock price" renders the claim indefinite, since it is not found in the original specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,297

Art Unit: 3692

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being unpatentable over Ichihari et al (2003/0046203) in view of Vass (US 7,251,627).

As per claim 1, *Ichihari* discloses a method implemented by a programmed computer system (see para 0090-0092 and Fig.13; via computer system [para 0033]) comprises the steps of:

iteratively changing a value of a debt/equity ratio associated with the entity (see para 0062-0063; via an enterprise makes loss as a result of volatility of earnings by a business risk inherently implied reiteration of stock prices resulting repeated change of debt/equity ratio);

calculating values of earnings per share associated with the entity based at least in part upon the iteratively changed values of the debt/equity ratio associated with the entity (para **0081**; via step **108** in **Fig.1**)

calculating values of earnings per share risk associated with the entity based at least in part upon the iteratively changed values of the debt/equity ratio associated with the entity (para **0061**; via step **106** in **Fig.1**); and

recording the calculated earnings per share values associated with the entity and

Application/Control Number: 10/676,297

Art Unit: 3692

the calculated earnings per share risk values associated with the entity (see para 0091 and 0095; via all calculated data are recorded and stored in data files 180-190).

Wherein the recorded calculated earning per share values associated with the entity and the recorded calculated earnings per share risk values associated with the entity characterize a capital structure of the entity in connection with a cost to the entity of a selected debt/equity ratio relative to a risk associated with the selected debt/equity ratio (para 0090-0092 and Fig.13; via computer system and enterprise as entity and para 0062-0063; via an enterprise makes loss as a result of volatility of earnings by a business risk related to inherent reiterative changes of stock prices resulting uncertain debt/equity ratio)

However, *Ichihari* fails explicitly to disclose iteratively changing a value of a debt / equity ratio associated with the entity. But *Vass* being in the same field of invention discloses iteratively changing a value of a debt / equity ratio associated with the entity (col.4, lines 9-13 and 50-54; via inherent and implied NYSE's reiteratively changes of stock prices resulting debt/equity ratio change for all stocks. Accordingly scanning the stocks of the initial universe and attention is paid to the debt to equity ratio of each stock with program setting a threshold for the debt/equity ratio of 20% or less).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the disclosure and features mentioned by *Ichihari* to include the features as taught by *Vass* to facilitate the proper selection of stocks into the universe.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,297

Art Unit: 3692

As per claim 2, *Ichihari* discloses that the entity is a public corporation (para 0033; via to measure performance of an entire enterprise, public entity).

As per claim 3, *Ichihari* discloses, at least one of the calculated earnings per share values and the calculated earnings per share risk values is applied to a financial presentation relating to at least one of a balance sheet and an earnings per share metric (para 0091 and 0100; via earning per share [as MEVA] calculation and storing and display inherently data may be shown in spreadsheet for presentation).

As per claim 4, *Ichihari* discloses that the iterations and calculations are carried out at least in part using a Monte Carlo simulation (para **0089**; via performing the Monte Carlo Simulation).

As per claim 5, *Ichihari* discloses that the outputted calculated earnings per share values and the outputted calculated earnings per share risk values are plotted against one another (para **0059**, **0060** and **Fig.5**).

As per claim 6, *Ichihari* discloses that the plot of calculated earnings per share values versus calculated earnings per share risk values is credit adjusted (para 0052-0054 and Fig.3).

As per claims 7 and 9, Ichihari discloses the steps further comprising:

inputting data associated with the entity including a number of common shares outstanding, a value of earnings, a value of dividends per share, a change in the effective number of common shares outstanding, which change in the effective number of common shares outstanding reflects the possibility, based upon an economically

Application/Control Number: 10/676,297

Art Unit: 3692

reasonable analysis in light of market conditions, of conversion of a convertible security; and a value of coupon payments;

wherein each value of earnings per share is calculated at least in part using the formula

EPS = DPS<sub>o</sub> + (Earnings<sub>o</sub> – N<sub>o</sub> x DPS<sub>o</sub> – Coupon) / N<sub>o</sub> + $\Delta$ N<sub>eff</sub> wherein Earnings equals the input value of earnings, N<sub>o</sub> equals the input number of common shares outstanding, DPS<sub>o</sub> equals the input value of dividends per share, Coupon equals the input value of coupon payments, and  $\Delta$ N<sub>eff</sub> equals the input change in the effective number of common shares outstanding, based at least in part upon each of a plurality of iteratively changed values of stock price associated with the entity (para 0033-0039; via identical expression of EPS or earnings of an enterprise with shares or Market efficiency value added = Net operating profit after Tax- Cost of Capital)

As per claims 8 and 10, *Ichihari* discloses that the economically reasonable analysis in light of market conditions takes into account a conversion premium associated with the convertible security (para 0095-96; via analysis with historical and simulation methods and market condition with ROI data).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/676,297 Page 7

Art Unit: 3692

### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Slyke et al (US 2002/0042770 A1) discloses Liquid Insurance Contracts.

Makivic (6,061,662) discloses the valuation of derivative financial instruments.

Squyres 7, 222, 95) discloses Method and System for comparison and

evaluation of investment Portfolio.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,297

Art Unit: 3692

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mohamed H. Ali whose telephone number is 571-270-

3021. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 to 5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 571-272-6783. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA

Mohamed H Ali Examiner Art Unit 3693

/Harish T Dass/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692